COMMENTARY

Open Access



Are you Ernest Shackleton, the polar explorer? Refining the criteria for delirium and brain dysfunction in sepsis

Frank Anthony Rasulo^{1,2*}, Giuseppe Bellelli^{3,4,5}, Eugene Wesley Ely^{6,7,8,9}, Alessandro Morandi^{3,10}, Pratik Pandharipande^{11,12} and Nicola Latronico^{2,13}

Abstract

The Third International Consensus Definitions for Sepsis and Septic Shock has recently defined sepsis as a lifethreatening organ dysfunction caused by a dysregulated host response to infection. Organ dysfunctions in this consensus definition were identified as an organ-specific Sequential [Sepsis-related] Organ Failure Assessment (SOFA) score ≥ 2 points. The quick SOFA (qSOFA) considers altered mentation indicating brain dysfunction when the Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS) score is ≤ 13 or ≤ 14 . However, concern has been expressed that the revised criteria may lead to a failure in recognizing the signs of potentially lethal organ dysfunction and thus sepsis. Patients with delirium have a fluctuating course, and GCS can be normal or only slightly reduced at the time when signs of delirium are already present. We here report an illustrative case showing how an acute, initially unrecognized, urinary tract infection caused acute brain dysfunction with profound behavioral and cognitive dysfunction despite normal GCS, hence not meeting the criteria for sepsis.

Keywords: Third International Consensus Definitions for Sepsis and Septic Shock, Sepsis, Quick Organ Failure Assessment (qSOFA) score, Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS) score, Delirium, Brain dysfunction

Background

The Third International Consensus Definitions for Sepsis and Septic Shock has recently defined sepsis as a lifethreatening organ dysfunction caused by a dysregulated host response to infection [1]. Organ dysfunction is defined as an organ-specific Sequential [Sepsis-related] Organ Failure Assessment (SOFA) score ≥ 2 points. Outside of the ICU, where all components of SOFA are not routinely measured, the quick SOFA (qSOFA) score has been proposed as a surrogate for SOFA. Within the qSOFA, the Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS) is used to evaluate neurological dysfunction; however, the cut-off score remains uncertain. In fact, the predictive validity of qSOFA is not significantly different when using a GCS score of <15 compared with GCS score of \leq 13.

* Correspondence: francesco.rasulo@unibs.it

¹Department of Anesthesiology, Critical Care Medicine and Emergency, Division of Neurocritical Care, Spedali Civili University Hospital, Piazzale Ospedali Civili, 1, 25123 Brescia, Italy

²Department of Medical and Surgical Specialties, Radiological Sciences and Public Health, University of Brescia, Brescia, Italy

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

Moreover, delirium may be present before other signs and symptoms indicative of infection and sepsis appear, but it is not considered in the new criteria for defining sepsis. Sepsis and septic shock are both associated with an increase in mortality in hospitalized patients. It is, therefore, paramount to diagnose brain dysfunction as soon as possible in order to promptly initiate treatment.

We here report an illustrative case showing how an acute, initially unrecognized urinary tract infection caused acute brain dysfunction with profound behavioral and cognitive dysfunction despite normal GCS, hence not meeting the criteria for sepsis.

Main text

In the summer of 2014, an 86-year-old man returned from the wine cellar with a bottle of wine to accompany his meal, but was found to be wearing his parka, hood, and gloves. Thinking it was a prank, his son asked him, "Hello, Sir Shackleton", referring to the famous polar explorer Sir Ernest Henry Shackleton, "is anyone else from your crew joining us for dinner?" However, the son, a



© The Author(s). 2017 **Open Access** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

Doctor, quickly realized that something was wrong when his father was not amused by the joke. The elderly gentleman did finally remove the excess clothing and sat back down to finish his meal, though appeared intermittently confused.

Later in the evening, he continued presenting fluctuating signs of confusion with difficulty focusing attention and keeping track of what was being said and had episodes of incoherent thinking. He was unable to tell the months backwards and was continuously distracted by home stimuli. Responses to simple questions such as "does a stone float on water" were inappropriate. No signs of altered consciousness were present; GCS remained most of the time at 15 (normal score), but sometimes fluctuated to 14 (confusion at verbal assessment). This fluctuation in mental status accompanied by inattention prompted his son in performing a more thorough examination which revealed heavy breathing, frequent and painful urination, and slight fevers, leading to a diagnosis of a urinary tract infection. Antibiotics (sulfamethoxazole and trimethoprim) were started, and 2 days later the patient returned to his baseline neurological status but was unaware of the behavioral and cognitive deficits he had suffered in the previous days.

According to the new criteria for defining sepsis, either a GCS of less than 15 or GCS \leq 13, can be used to define neurologic dysfunction with qSOFA [1], which makes different series difficult to compare. In fact, three recent papers used the two different GCS cut-off scores, two of which used <15 [2, 3] and one \leq 13 [4]. Work is still needed to determine the optimal way to identify patients with sepsis on the wards that will most likely benefit from earlier and more aggressive interventions [5].

The gentleman in our report manifested all signs and symptoms of delirium [6]. This acute brain failure following infection should not be ignored and shows that he had sepsis, which would portend a higher morbidity and mortality as compared to a simple infection, but would risk going unrecognized if routine delirium monitoring is not performed. Delirium prompted investigations into infection as the possible cause, thereby permitting timely antibiotic treatment and resolution of the clinical condition. Had we based our diagnosis on an altered mental status as defined by a $GCS \le 13$, this patient would have had a simple infection, but not sepsis. Delirium is common, associated with worsening of outcome if not recognized and is often the first manifestation of sepsis [7]. Early identification and management of sepsis in the wards or the ICU may also prevent worsening and development of septic shock and its associated outcomes. The prevalence of delirium ranges from 8 to 80%, with the highest prevalence in the ICU and after surgical procedures, and its occurrence is

associated with increased risk of mortality, longer ICU and hospital stay, higher costs, and increased risk of developing dementia or worsening of a pre-existing dementia and functional decline [7, 8]. Given that delirium can be reliably diagnosed through use of standardized, validated, and easy to use tools, such as the Confusion Assessment Method (CAM), CAM-ICU, Intensive Care Delirium Screening Checklist, and 4AT, there appears to be scant reason to not incorporate it into the identification algorithms for brain organ dysfunction accompanying infection [9].

Conclusions

Unexplained organ dysfunctions should raise the possibility of an underlying infection being the provocateur, and it is unclear what lower limit of GCS should be used to uncover brain dysfunction in sepsis. Any new conference to re-evaluate criteria for sepsis, with a broader base of constituents, should also consider delirium to refine the criteria for sepsis.

Abbreviations

4AT: Assessment test for delirium and cognitive impairment; CAM: Confusion Assessment Method; CAM-ICU: Confusion Assessment Method for the Intensive Care Unit; GCS: Glasgow Coma Scale; ICU: Intensive care unit; qSOFA: quick Sequential Organ Failure Assessment; SOFA: Sequential Organ Failure Assessment

Acknowledgements

This paper is dedicated to the memory of Mr. Rocco Rasulo, who died recently, a long-term sepsis survivor.

Funding

Not applicable.

Availability of data and materials

Data sharing not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analyzed during the current study.

Authors' contributions

FAR and NL conceptualized and drafted the manuscript. GB, WEE, AM, PP reviewed and were involved in discussing and editing the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Consent for publication

The consent was obtained by the next of kin (son).

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable.

Author details

¹Department of Anesthesiology, Critical Care Medicine and Emergency, Division of Neurocritical Care, Spedali Civili University Hospital, Piazzale Ospedali Civili, 1, 25123 Brescia, Italy. ²Department of Medical and Surgical Specialties, Radiological Sciences and Public Health, University of Brescia, Brescia, Italy. ³Geriatric Research Group, Brescia, Italy. ⁴School of Medicine and Surgery, University of Milano-Bicocca, Milan, Italy. ⁵Geriatric Unit, San Gerardo Hospital, Monza, Italy. ⁶Center for Quality Aging, Vanderbilt University School of Medicine, Nashville, TN, USA. ⁷Division of Allergy, Pulmonary, and Critical Care Medicine, Vanderbilt University School of Medicine, Nashville, TN, USA. ⁸Center for Health Services Research, Vanderbilt University School of Medicine, Nashville, TN, USA. ⁹Geriatric Research, Education, and Clinical Center, Department of Veterans Affairs Medical Center, Tennessee Valley Health Care Center, Nashville, TN, USA. ¹⁰Department of Rehabilitation and Aged Care of the Fondazione Camplani, Ancelle Hospital, Cremona, Italy. ¹¹Department of Anesthesiology, Division of Critical Care, Vanderbilt University School of Medicine, Nashville, USA. ¹²Anesthesia Service, Department of Veterans Affairs, Tennessee Valley Healthcare System, Nashville, USA. ¹³Department of Anesthesiology, Critical Care Medicine and Emergency, Spedali Civili University Hospital, Brescia, Italy.

Received: 17 January 2017 Accepted: 27 February 2017 Published online: 07 March 2017

References

- Singer M, Deutschman CS, Seymour CW, et al. The Third International Consensus Definitions for Sepsis and Septic Shock (Sepsis-3). JAMA. 2016; 315(8):801–10.
- Raith EP, Udy AA, Bailey M, et al. Prognostic accuracy of the SOFA score, SIRS criteria, and qSOFA score for in-hospital mortality among adults with suspected infection admitted to the intensive care unit. JAMA. 2017;317(3):290–300.
- Freund Y, Lemachatti N, Krastinova E, et al. Prognostic accuracy of sepsis-3 criteria for in-hospital mortality among patients with suspected infection presenting to the emergency department. JAMA. 2017;317(3):301–8.
- Williams JM, Greenslade JH, McKenzie JV, et al. SIRS, qSOFA and organ dysfunction: insights from a prospective database of emergency department patients with infection. CHEST. 2016; doi:10.1016/j.chest.2016.10.057.
- Bhattacharjee P, Edelson DP, Churpek MM. Identifying patients with sepsis on the hospital wards. CHEST. 2016; doi:10.1016/j.chest.2016.06.020.
- European Delirium A, American Delirium S. The DSM-5 criteria, level of arousal and delirium diagnosis: inclusiveness is safer. BMC Med. 2014;12:141.
- Ely EW, Gautam S, Margolin R, et al. The impact of delirium in the intensive care unit on hospital length of stay. Intensive Care Med. 2001;27:1892–900.
- Inouye SK, Westendorp RG, Saczynski JS. Delirium in elderly people. Lancet. 2014;383(9920):911–22.
- Simpson SQ. New sepsis criteria: a change we should not make. CHEST. 2016;149(5):1117–8.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and we will help you at every step:

- · We accept pre-submission inquiries
- Our selector tool helps you to find the most relevant journal
- We provide round the clock customer support
- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- Inclusion in PubMed and all major indexing services
- Maximum visibility for your research

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit

