RESEARCH

Journal of Intensive Care

Open Access

Check for updates

Soluble mannose receptor CD206 and von Willebrand factor are early biomarkers to identify patients at risk for severe or necrotizing acute pancreatitis

Philipp A. Reuken^{1*†}, Jonathan F. Brozat^{2†}, Stefanie Quickert¹, Oluwatomi Ibidapo-obe², Johanna Reißing², Anika Franz¹, Sven Stengel¹, Ulf K.-M. Teichgräber³, Michael Kiehntopf⁴, Christian Trautwein², Andreas Stallmach¹, Alexander Koch^{2†} and Tony Bruns^{1,2†}

Abstract

Background: In acute pancreatitis (AP), microcirculatory dysfunction and leukocyte activation contribute to organ damage, inflammation, and mortality. Given the role of macrophage activation, monocyte recruitment, and micro-thrombus formation in the early pathogenesis of AP, we examined the macrophage activation marker soluble mannose receptor (sCD206) and the endothelial function marker von Willebrand factor (vWF) in patients admitted for AP.

Methods: In an exploratory analysis, serum sCD206 and plasma vWF were prospectively analyzed on day 1 and day 3 in 81 patients with AP admitted to the hospital. In addition, blood samples from 59 patients with early AP admitted to the intensive care unit and symptom onset < 24 h were retrospectively analyzed. Patients were dichotomized as per study protocol into two groups: (i) "non-severe edematous AP" including patients with mild AP without organ failure and patients with transient organ failure that resolves within 48 h and (ii) "severe/necrotizing AP" including patients with severe AP and persistent organ failure >48 h and/or patients with local complications.

Results: In the prospective cohort, 17% developed severe/necrotizing pancreatitis compared with 56% in the ICU cohort. Serum concentrations of sCD206 on admission were higher in patients with severe/necrotizing AP than in patients with non-severe edematous AP (prospective: 1.57 vs. 0.66 mg/l, P = 0.005; ICU: 1.76 vs. 1.25 mg/l, P = 0.006), whereas other inflammatory markers (leukocytes, C-reactive protein, procalcitonin) and disease severity (SOFA, SAPS II, APACHE II) did not show significant differences. Patients with severe/necrotizing AP had a greater increase in sCD206 than patients with non-severe edematous AP at day 3 in the prospective cohort. In contrast to routine coagulation parameters, vWF antigen levels were elevated on admission (prospective cohort: 375 vs. 257%, P = 0.02; ICU cohort: 240 vs. 184%, P = 0.03). When used as continuous variables, sCD206 and VWF antigen remained predictors of severe/ necrotizing AP after adjustment for etiology and age in both cohorts.

[†]Philipp A. Reuken and Jonathan F. Brozat are equally contributing first authors

[†]Alexander Koch and Tony Bruns are equally contributing senior authors

*Correspondence: philipp.reuken@med.uni-jena.de

¹ Department of Internal Medicine IV, Jena University Hospital, Friedrich Schiller University Jena, Am Klinikum 1, 07747 Jena, Germany Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s) 2022. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

Conclusions: sCD206 identifies patients at risk of severe AP at earlier timepoints than routine markers of inflammation and coagulation. Prospective studies are needed to investigate whether incorporating early or repeated measurements into the existing scoring system will better identify patients at increased risk for complications of AP.

Keywords: Acute pancreatitis, Necrotizing pancreatitis, Endothelial activation, Macrophage activation

Background

Acute pancreatitis (AP) is a frequent and potentially life-threatening disease with an incidence of approximately 111 per 100,000 adults in the United States¹. In most patients, AP has a mild course in the absence of both local complications and persistent organ failure². However, about 20% of patients develop a severe course of AP, with mortality rates ranging from 15 to $35\%^3$. To identify patients at high risk for complications, several scoring systems have been evaluated: the Ranson score⁴, the Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation (APACHE) II score⁵, and the sequential organ failure assessment (SOFA) score⁶. Whereas their accuracy in predicting persistent organ failure is comparable but modest⁷, scores are often too complex and hard to apply in medical settings outside intensive care units (APACHE-II, SOFA). More convenient scoring systems, such as the bedside index for severity in acute pancreatitis (BISAP)⁸, suffer from low sensitivity for predicting patient mortality⁸. Single routine laboratory parameters such as hematocrit or blood glucose often have poor predictive value for detecting severe courses of AP^{9,10}. In addition, sonography for diagnosing pleural effusion (BISAP) is not always readily available.

AP is classically understood as the premature activation of trypsin in pancreatic acinar cells followed by autodigestion, pancreatic inflammation and subsequent systemic inflammatory response¹¹. In severe AP, myeloid cells are the predominant immune cells migrating to the pancreas and contribute to organ damage, inflammation, and finally mortality¹²⁻¹⁴. Inflammatory macrophages infiltrate the pancreas early after the injury and upregulate markers of alternative activation within interlobular areas of the inflamed pancreas¹⁵. Within the first 12 h after AP induction, surface expression of CD206 by pancreatic macrophages is dramatically decreased¹⁴, which may be due to dilution by infiltrating immune cells, downregulation of surface expression, or shedding from the surface. The shedding of CD206 from macrophages is mediated by proteases in response to activation via Protein C kinase, ATP, ligation of TLR2 or dectin-1 or after infection with Candida albicans, Aspergillus fumigatus, Pneumocystis carinii, and E. coli^{16–19}, although the exact underlying mechanisms are not fully known.

As a consequence of pancreatic inflammation, microcirculatory dysfunction occurs early in the course of AP, disturbing capillary blood flow and increasing capillary permeability. Thus, further promoting local and systemic leukocyte activation²⁰. A hallmark of inflammatory endothelial injury is the degranulation of endothelial cells and the release of von Willebrand factor (vWF). vWF promotes platelet adhesion and aggregation, stimulating the formation of platelet microthrombi and thereby illustrating the close interaction of inflammatory compounds with the coagulation system^{21–23} in the context of AP. vWF^{24–27} and its cleaving protease, ADAMTS13, a disintegrin and metalloproteinase with a thrombospondin type 1 motif, capable of cleaving vWF multimers into smaller forms^{28,29} have been implicated in the pathogenesis and severity of AP.

Given the key roles of macrophages and microthrombi formation in the pathogenesis of severe AP, this study investigated, whether surrogates of macrophage activation (sCD206) and endothelial dysfunction (vWF) can be employed as early biomarkers, possibly predicting the course of AP. Therefore, we performed a prospective exploratory analysis of sCD206 and plasma vWF in patients hospitalized for AP (prospective cohort) and in patients with AP admitted to the ICU for intensified monitoring or treatment (retrospective ICU cohort).

Study design and methods

Study population

Eighty-one consecutive hospitalized patients with AP between May 2017 and October 2018 were prospectively enrolled at the Jena University Hospital. Acute pancreatitis was defined as a combination of two or more of the following symptoms: elevated serum lipase more than threefold, typical abdominal pain or typical imaging findings². Exclusion criteria were concomitant cirrhosis, von-Willebrand syndrome, thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura and pregnancy. Patients were dichotomized as per study protocol into two groups: (i) "non-severe edematous AP" including patients with mild AP without organ failure and patients with transient organ failure that resolves within 48 h and (ii) "severe/necrotizing AP" including patients with severe AP and persistent organ failure >48 h and patients with local complications². A dichotomization was performed for considerations of sufficient statistical power as we expected only 5% to 10% patients to develop persistent organ failure³⁰. Patients were followed-up for in-hospital

death or discharge from the hospital. Demographic and clinical data including age, sex, date of hospitalization, and comorbidities according to Charlson Comorbidity Index³¹ were collected at hospital admission.

An independent retrospective cohort included 59 patients with AP admitted to the medical intensive care unit (ICU) of Aachen University Hospital between 2010 and 2017. Only patients in whom the documented time from symptom onset (pain) to ICU admission and sample collection was 24 h or less were considered for analysis. Patients were dichotomized into patients with severe or necrotizing AP (n=33) and non-severe edematous AP (n=26) as described above. Patients were followed-up for in-hospital death or discharge from the hospital.

In both cohorts, the diagnosis of necrotizing pancreatitis was made by an experienced radiologist using computed tomography with intravenous contrast medium according to routine clinical practice. In a few patients in whom computed tomography could not be performed or was contraindicated, the diagnosis of pancreatic necrosis was made by endoscopic ultrasound. With the indication for imaging given by the treating gastroenterologist or intensivist, a total of 41 patients in the prospective group and 41 patients in the ICU cohort underwent computed tomography or endoscopic ultrasound.

All patients, next of kin or legal guardians gave written informed consent according to the study protocols prior to inclusion as approved by the respective internal review boards and ethics committee (5128–03/17, Jena and EK 150/06, Aachen).

Plasma and serum analysis

Blood samples were obtained at hospital admission and on day 3 in the prospective cohort and at ICU admission in the retrospective ICU cohort. Citrated blood samples were centrifuged at $1800 \times g$ for 15 min to obtain platelet-reduced plasma; serum samples were centrifuged at $1800 \times g$ for 10 min. Samples were aliquoted and stored at -80 °C until measurement.

Soluble CD206 (sCD206) was determined in serum using the human soluble mannose receptor (sMR) ELISA Kit (Hycult Biotech, Uden, Netherlands) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Citrated plasma was thawed for 10 min in a 37 °C water bath to avoid formation of cryoprecipitates. ADAMTS13 activity and antigen levels were measured in prospective cohort using a commercially available fluorogenic enzyme-linked immune-sorbent assay (ELISA) (Technoclone, Vienna, Austria) according to the manufacturer's instructions. vWF antigen (vWF:Ag) and vWF ristocetin cofactor activity (vWF:RCo) were determined in both cohorts as described previously³². Total bilirubin, albumin, creatinine, urea, sodium, calcium, international normalized ratio (INR), alanine aminotransferase (ALT), aspartate aminotransferase (AST), alkaline phosphatase (ALP), gamma-glutamyl transferase (GGT), lipase, amylase, glucose, lactate, pH, fibrinogen, D-dimer, C-reactive protein (CRP), procalcitonin (PCT), white blood cell count (WBC), hemoglobin, hematocrit and platelet count were determined using routine laboratory analysis.

Surface expression of HLA-DR and MERTK on circulating monocytes was determined as described in the Additional file 1.

Statistical analysis

Data are expressed as medians with interquartiles and visualized using box plots with individual data points unless otherwise indicated. Differences between groups were analysed by the Fisher's exact test, Wilcoxon signedrank test for paired samples or the Mann-Whitney U test or the Kruskal-Wallis test for unpaired samples as appropriate. Diagnostic accuracy to distinguish between severe or necrotizing AP and non-severe edematous AP was assessed using the area under the receiver operating characteristics curve (AUROC). Binary logistic regression analysis was performed to calculate odds ratio (OR) of sCD206, vWF:Ag and vWF:RCo to identify patients with severe/necrotizing AP on day 1 (prospective and ICU cohort) and 3 (prospective cohort only). Multivariable binary logistic regression was performed to adjust for AP etiology and age. Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS v27 (IBM, Armonk, NY, USA) and Prism v8 (GraphPad, La Jolla, CA, USA). A two-sided significance level of p < 0.05 was applied. Systematic randomization, correction for multiple testing and blinding were not performed.

Results

Prospective cohort

Out of 81 patients who were admitted to the Jena University Hospital for AP, 14 (17%) developed severe or necrotizing pancreatitis, including 10 with necrotizing AP, two with persistent organ failure, and two with both, necrosis and organ failure. The median time from admission to the diagnosis of necrosis was 8 days (interquartile range, 6 to 9). The median time from admission to the diagnosis of persistent organ failure was 2 days (interquartile range, 1 to 4).

Patients who developed severe/necrotizing AP were more frequently transferred to intensive or intermediate care (64% vs. 15%, P = 0.001) and had longer hospital stay (median 20 vs. 8 days, p < 0.001) than patients with non-severe edematous AP (Additional file 1: Table S1). In-hospital mortality in patients with severe/ necrotizing AP was 7% as compared to 0% in non-severe edematous AP.

Patients with severe/necrotizing AP were younger than patients with non-severe edematous AP and more often had alcoholic pancreatitis. They presented more often with tachycardia without significant differences in routine laboratory parameters on admission (Table 1). Fortyeight hours after admission (day 3), hematocrit, total serum calcium, albumin, lipase, amylase, urea, lactate dehydrogenase, and D-Dimers were higher in patients with severe/necrotizing AP than in patients with nonsevere edematous AP (Table 1).

SOFA, BISAP, Simplified Acute Physiology Score (SAPS) II, APACHE II, and the Ranson criteria were not able to discriminate between patients with severe/ necrotizing and non-severe edematous courses of AP at day 1 or day 3 in the prospective cohort (Table 1).

ICU cohort

Because only 14 (17%) patients in the prospective cohort developed severe or necrotizing pancreatitis, we also included an independent retrospective cohort of patients enriched in more severe courses. Patients were eligible for analysis, if the time from symptom onset to ICU admission and sample collection was 24 h or less. Main reasons for admission to ICU were organ failure, management of alcohol withdrawal symptoms or pain, preparation for endoscopic procedures for impacted bile duct stones or intensified monitoring as outlined in the Additional file 1:Table S2.

In the ICU cohort, 33 (56%) patients developed severe/ necrotizing AP and 26 (44%) had non-severe edematous pancreatitis. Twenty patients had organ failure and necrosis, 13 patients had organ failure only. The median time from ICU admission to diagnosis of necrosis by computed tomography or endoscopic ultrasound was 5 days (interquartile range 4 to 7), and the median time from ICU admission to onset of persistent or transient organ failure was 0 days (interquartile range 0 to 1). The in-hospital mortality in patients with severe/necrotizing AP was 4/33 (12%) as compared to 0% in patients with non-severe edematous AP (Additional file 1: Table S2).

Again, patients with severe/necrotizing AP were younger than patients with mild AP, and SOFA, SAPS II, and APACHE II scores at ICU admission were unable to discriminate between the two groups (Table 2). The median length-of-stay on the ICU was significantly longer in patients with severe/necrotizing AP as compared to mild AP (13 vs. 4 days, P=0.02).

Biomarkers of inflammation

In the prospective cohort, white blood cell count (14.6 vs. 11.9 /nl, P=0.16), C-reactive protein (82.5 vs. 24.9 mg/l, P=0.12), and procalcitonin (0.48 vs. 0.22 ng/ml, P=0.10) did not significantly differ between patients with severe/necrotizing AP vs. non-severe edematous AP at hospital admission (Table 1, Additional file 1: Fig. S1).

In line with these results, white blood cell count (13.3 vs. 12.2 /nl, p=0.69), C-reactive protein (142 vs. 67 mg/l, p=0.06), and procalcitonin (0.9 vs. 0.7 ng/ml, P=0.47) did not significantly differ between the both disease courses in the ICU cohort at ICU admission (Table 2, Additional file 1: Fig. S1).

In contrast to conventional inflammation markers, the macrophage activation marker sCD206 was significantly elevated in patients who developed severe/ necrotizing AP as compared to patients with nonsevere edematous AP in both, the prospective cohort at hospital admission (1.57 vs. 0.66 mg/l, P=0.005) and the ICU cohort at ICU admission (1.76 vs. 1.25 mg/l, P=0.006) (Fig. 1A, B). The median increase in sCD206 from hospital admission to day 3 increase was higher in patients with severe/necrotizing AP (Fig. 1C), resulting in a significant higher sCD206 concentration in patients with severe/necrotizing AP at day 3 (Table 1).

Comparing the diagnostic accuracy of the inflammatory biomarkers CRP, WBC, and sCD206 at day 1 by ROC curve analysis confirmed the highest accuracy for sCD206 in the prospective cohort (AUROC 0.737; 95% CI 0.544–0.929) as well as in the ICU cohort (AUROC 0.715, 95% CI 0.575–0.854) (Fig. 1D, E).

Next, we investigated whether sCD206 concentrations would be sufficient to rule out the development of severe/necrotizing AP. Based on the maximum likelihood ratio (LR) in ROC curve analysis, sCD206 hospital admission levels of < 1.07 mg/l indicated a non-severe course of AP with moderate sensitivity (83%) and specificity (71%) in the prospective cohort (Likelihood ratio: 2.9) and sCD206 ICU admission levels of < 0.88 mg/l indicated a non-severe course of AP with low sensitivity (35%) and high specificity (91%) in the retrospective ICU cohort (Likelihood ratio: 3.8).

Consistent with inflammatory activation of the monocyte/macrophage compartment, the surface expression of the immune regulatory Mer tyrosine kinase (MERTK) on circulating CD14+monocytes cells was increased as early as day 1 in patients who developed severe/necrotizing AP compared to patients who did not (Additional file 1: Fig. S2).

Day 1 (Admission to hospital) Day 3 Characteristics Severe or necrotizing Non-severe P value Severe or necrotizing Non-severe P value (n = 14)edematous (n = 67)(n = 14)edematous (n = 67)Age (years) 48 (41; 59) 69 (52; 78) 0.001 0.55 Male sex 10 (71%) 39 (58%) Body weight (kg) 78 (67.25; 101.25) 83 (71; 92) 0.97 28.2 (23.5; 31.1) BMI (kg/m²) 27.7 (25.0; 31.0) 0.64 Etiology 0.001 Biliarv 2 (14%) 31 (46%) Alcoholic 8 (57%) 5 (7%) Other 4 (29%) 31 (46%) Alcohol use (n) 12 (86%) 23 (34%) 0.001 Smoker (n) 5 (36%) 12 (15%) 0.16 0.05 Hemoglobin (mmol/l) 9.0 (7.9; 10.4) 8.2 (7.5; 9.3) 0.18 6.7 (6.4; 7.7) 7.6 (6.9; 8.1) Hematocrit (%) 39 (36; 48) 40 (36; 44) 0.92 32 (30; 37) 37 (33; 38) 0.04 INR 1.0 (1.0; 1.4) 1.1 (1.1; 1.3) 0.39 1.2 (1.1; 1.5) 1.2 (1.1; 1.3) 0.68 PTT (sec) 26.8 (24.3; 30.2) 27.6 (35.4; 30.0) 0.36 27.6 (26.0; 29.4) 28.3 (26.9; 30.9) 0.23 Platelets (/nl) 0.61 203 (155; 259) 0.07 195 (166: 347) 254 (183: 297) 148 (116: 213) Ca²⁺ (mmol/l) 2.21 (1.93; 2.49) 2.39 (2.21; 2.73) 0.07 1.98 (1.70; 2.10) 2.23 (2.15; 2.30) < 0.001 Ca²⁺ corrected (mmol/l) 2.48 (2.27: 2.68) 2.49 (2.36: 2.60) 1.00 2.50 (2.26: 2.53) 2.49 (2.39; 2.61) 0.52 LDH (µmol/l/s) 4.33 (3.32; 4.74) 4.31 (3.36; 6.22) 0.75 5.45 (4.97; 14.83) 4.02 (3.31; 5.14) 0.002 Bilirubin (µmol/l) 16.5 (9.75; 34.75) 32 (14; 56.25) 0.11 14 (10.25; 22) 18 (12; 31.5) 0.32 ALP (µmol/l/s) 0.06 1.33 (1.15; 2.22) 2.04 (1.32; 3.72) 1.48 (1.00; 2.27) 1.54 (1.06; 3.06) 0.44 GGT (µmol/l/s) 3.10 (1.14; 4.49) 4.99 (1.05; 8.75) 0.46 2.00 (0.80; 6.16) 2.83 (0.86; 7.38) 055 Amylase (µmol/l/s) 0.52 0.02 7.45 (6.17; 18.54) 13.74 (3.96; 28.40) 6.5 (2.41: 11.53) 1.70 (0.86; 3.20) 0.004 Lipase (µmol/l/s) 31.64 (9.85; 53.45) 43.08 (9.84; 97.75) 0.38 5.60 (3.03; 11.07) 2.06 (1.07; 4.85) 0.03 ALT (µmol/l/s) 0.49 (0.27: 0.88) 1.64 (0.43: 4.40) 0.06 0.29 (0.19: 0.87) 1.10 (0.29; 2.62) AST (µmol/l/s) 0.88 (0.48; 1.70) 1.52 (0.53; 3.58) 0.20 0.89 (0.72; 1.48) 0.67 (0.43; 1.19) 0.15 Albumin (q/l) 34 (31; 38) 0.15 < 0.001 29 (26; 38) 21 (17; 24) 29 (26; 32) pН 7.40 (7.36; 7.44) 7.41 (7.38; 7.44) 0.46 7.39 (7.33; 7.43) 7.40 (7.38; 7.43) 0.38 Lactate (mmol/l) 1.47 (1.03; 2.10) 1.40 (1.05; 2.09) 0.89 0.85 (0.70; 1.10) 1.01 (0.78; 1.25) 0.32 025 Glucose (mmol/l) 8.4 (5.7; 10.2) 7.0 (5.8; 8.8) 0.26 7.9 (4.4; 9.4) 5.9 (5.1; 7.2) Urea (mmol/l) 6.6 (5.9; 10.5) 6.0 (4.2; 8.1) 0.40 6.5 (4.4; 12.0) 4.6 (3.1; 6.8) 0.04 Creatinine (µmol/l) 79 (69.5; 157.5) 77 (68; 102.5) 0.37 85.5 (55.5; 135.75) 69 (61; 88.25) 0.34 Standard bicarbonate (mmol/l) 23.6 (21.5; 26.1) 24.6 (23.1; 26.3) 0.37 21.3 (19.1; 27.4) 25.2 (23.8; 26.7) 0.08 Base Excess (mmol/l) 0.9 (-1.3; 2.9) 0.13 0.11 0.3 (-4.8; 1.4) -2.5 (-6.5; 3.7) 1.1 (-0.4; 2.6) SOFA score 1.0 (0.5; 2.5) 2 (0; 2.25) 0.95 1.0 (1.0; 3.75) 1.0 (0; 2) 0.10 **BISAP** score 1 (1; 2) 0.53 N/A 1 (0: 1.75) SAPS II score 17 (13; 24) 22 (16; 26) 0.11 18 (11.5; 30.5) 20 (7; 25) 0.75 APACHE II score 7 (5.75; 8.75) 8 (5; 13) 0.27 N/A CCI (points) 1.5 (0; 2) 3 (1;6) 0.008 N/A Ranson Criteria N/A 2.5 (0.25; 3.75) 1 (0; 2) 0.10 SIRS (points) 0.005 1.5 (0.5:2) 0.5 (0:1) N/A CRP (mg/l) 82.5 (8.7; 222.2) 24.9 (3.8; 70.9) 0.12 367 (306; 400) 127 (35; 215) < 0.001 PCT (ng/ml) 0.48 (0.93; 2.80) 0.22 (0.07; 0.51) 0.49 0.19 (0.11; 0.73) 0.002 1.81 (0.34; 7.34) WBC (/nl) 0.16 0.046 14.6 (9.1; 18.3) 11.9 (9.0; 15.9) 12.3 (8.3, 17.5) 9.7 (7.1; 11.6) sCD206 (mg/l) 0.005 2.41 (1.31-2.58) 0.86 (0.59; 1.23) < 0.001 1.57 (0.59; 1.89) 0.66 (0.51; 0.95) Fibrinogen (g/l) 3.2 (2.7; 4.7) 3.8 (3.2; 4.7) 0.50 8.3 (3.9; 10.0) 5.0 (3.8; 6.2) 0.06 D-Dimer (µg/l) 0.26 896 (312: 1948) 468 (310: 864) 2833 (1578; 4531) 646 (355; 1169) < 0.001 vWF:Ag (%) 375 (285; 401) 257 (204; 355) 0.02 393 (288; 580) 235 (198; 342) 0.001 vWF:RCo (%) 401 (302; 433) 266 (194; 339) 0.01 428 (319; 646) 255 (200; 352) < 0.001

Table 1 Clinical characteristics of patients with acute pancreatitis (Prospective cohort)

P values from Mann-Whitney U test or Fisher's exact test. Medians with first and third quartile or frequencies with percentages are shown. N/A not applicable

Table 2 Clinical characteristics of patients with acutepancreatitis (ICU cohort)

Characteristics	Day 1 (Admission to ICU)					
	Severe or necrotizing (n=33)	Non-severe edematous (n = 26)	P value			
Age (years)	48 (38;61)	69 (49;77)	0.007			
Male sex	23 (70%)	14 (54%)	0.22			
BMI (kg/m. ²)	27.0 (23.2;33.2)	27.3 (24.6;29.3)	0.91			
Etiology						
Biliary Alcoholic Other	10 (30%) 11 (33%) 12 (36%)	7 (27%) 9 (35%) 10 (38%)	0.73			
Hemoglobin (g/dl)	12.9 (10.5;15.1)	13.4 (10.9;15.8)	0.53			
Hematocrit (%)	37 (31;42)	39 (33;47)	0.26			
INR	1.1 (1.1;1.3)	1.1 (1.0;1.3)	0.67			
PTT (s) Platelets (/nl)	26.3 (24.1;31.3) 213 (144;278)	29.0 (25.0;32.8) 213 (121;307)	0.39 0.67			
Ca ²⁺ (mmol/l)	1.99 (1.78;2.10)	2.09 (1.93;2.24)	0.80			
LDH (U/I)	312 (219;605)	258 (201;405)	0.15			
Bilirubin (mg/dl)	1.1 (0.7;2.6)	0.85 (0.4;2.6)	0.25			
ALP (U/I)	85 (58;129)	100 (72;124)	0.53			
GGT (U/I)	143 (77;304)	222 (79;493)	0.32			
Amylase (U/I)	554 (299;888)	210 (93;1248)	0.23			
Lipase (U/I)	506 (230;1509)	968 (545;3388)	0.13			
ALT (U/I)	64 (28;138)	71 (29;231)	0.46			
AST (U/I)	62 (31;128)	67 (38;250)	0.37			
Albumin (g/l)	28 (26;31)	32 (27;37)	0.09			
Glucose (mg/dl)	131 (102;181)	124 (94;149)	0.21			
Creatinine (µmol/l)	80 (52;107)	106 (55;190)	0.15			
SOFA score	5 (2.5;8.5)	5 (0.25;8)	0.56			
SAPS II score	25 (19;37)	30 (20.5;39)	0.42			
APACHE II score	16 (8;21)	16 (8;24)	0.90			
Ranson 0 h (points)	1 (1;2)	1 (1;2)	0.96			
CRP (mg/l)	142 (51;232)	67 (22;163)	0.06			
PCT (ng/ml)	0.9 (0.3;8.6)	0.7 (0.2;3.0)	0.47			
WBC (/nl)	13.3 (8.9;17.1)	12.2 (7.55;18.5)	0.69			
sCD206 (mg/l)	1.76 (1.43;2.82)	1.25 (0.73;1.76)	0.005			
vWF:Ag (%)	240 (186;464)	184 (140;308)	0.03			
vWF:RCo (%)	177 (87;339)	129 (100;301)	0.67			

P values from Mann–Whitney *U* test or Fisher's exact test. Medians with first and third quartile or frequencies with percentages are shown

Markers of endothelial function and hemostasis

Routine markers of hemostasis including INR, PTT, and platelets did not differ between patients with and without severe/necrotizing AP in both cohorts at hospital or ICU admission (Tables 1 and 2). D-Dimers (896 vs. 468 μ g/l, P=0.26) and fibrinogen (3.2 vs. 3.8 g/l, P=0.50) were measured in the prospective cohort only and did not differ at presentation (Table 1). D-Dimer

levels significantly differed between the two groups at day 3 (Additional file 1: Fig. S3).

In both cohorts, vWF:Ag levels were significantly higher on hospital or ICU admission (median 375% vs. 257%, P=0.02 in the prospective cohort; median 240% vs. 184%, P=0.03 in the ICU cohort) in patients with severe/necrotizing AP (Fig. 2A, B). Patients who developed severe/necrotizing AP had a median absolute increase of vWF:Ag between day 1 and day 3 of 32% in contrast to patients with non-severe edematous pancreatitis, who experienced a median decrease of 8% (Fig. 2C).

vWF:Ag had moderate diagnostic accuracy in discriminating patients with severe/necrotizing AP from patients with non-severe edematous AP in the prospective cohort (AUROC 0.750; 95% CI 0.624–0.876) and in the ICU cohort (AUROC 0.718; 95% CI 0.569–0.866) on day 1, which was even higher at day 3 (AUROC 0.829; 95% CI 0.705–0.952).

vWF function as indicated by the vWF ristocetin cofactor activity (vWF:RCo) was significantly higher in patients with severe/necrotizing AP at day 1 (median 401% vs. 266%, P=0.014) in the prospective cohort but not in the ICU cohort (median 177% vs. 129%, P=0.67). The levels of the vWF-cleaving protease ADAMTS13 antigen (0.54 IU/ml vs. 0.57 IU/ml; P=0.58) and activity (0.38 vs. 0.37 IU/ml, p=0.80) did not differ between patients with severe/necrotizing AP and mild AP.

sCD206 and vWF as predictors of a severe or necrotizing course of AP

We used binary logistic regression models to evaluate the ability of sCD206 and VWF antigen to predict the occurrence of severe or necrotizing pancreatitis. The univariate odds ratios for severe/necrotizing AP were 6.08 (1.77-20.81) and 2.90 (1.19-7.05) per 1-log_e[mg/l] increase in sCD206 and 9.33 (1.05-82.81) and 3.34 (1.04-10.67) per 1-log [%] increase in vWF:Ag, in the prospective cohort and in the ICU cohort, respectively. To address potential confounders, binary logistic regression analysis after adjustment for the etiology of AP (stratified by biliary vs. alcoholic vs. other) was performed. In multivariable models, sCD206 and vWF remained independent predictors of severe or necrotizing pancreatitis after adjustment for etiology and age when used as a continuous variable (Table 3). When dichotomized using the highest quartiles (Q4) as the cutoff, sCD206 but not VWF antigen remained a significant indicator in both cohorts in univariate and in multivariable models. Given the intercorrelation of sCD206 and vWF:RCo (Spearman's rho=0.496 in the prospective cohort; P < 0.01), both variables were not used together in a multivariable logistic regression model.



sCD206 and vWF in patients with bacterial infections

Because circulating sCD206 levels are elevated in patients with impaired intestinal barrier function³³ and in patients with manifest bacterial or fungal infections³⁴, we examined the presence of bacterial infections as a confounding factor in our analysis. In the prospective cohort, no patients were diagnosed with bacterial or fungal infection on hospital admission, and eight patients developed infection during follow-up. In the retrospective ICU cohort, 15 patients had a proven or suspected bacterial infection on admission, and an additional 21 patients developed an infection during follow-up (Additional file 1: Table S2). Patients who did not develop infection had lower serum sCD206 concentrations than patients who presented with or later developed infection (Fig. 3A, B). However, when only patients without infections at ICU admission were considered for analysis, sCD206 still differed significantly between patients with mild AP and patients with severe/necrotizing AP (Fig. 3C). The association between vWF:Ag and manifest or subsequent infections was less consistent (Fig. 3D–F).

Discussion

In this study, we report that soluble mannose receptor CD206, a biomarker of macrophage activation, and von Willebrand factor antigen, a biomarker of endothelial perturbation, significantly differ between patients with severe or necrotizing AP and patients with mild AP on the day of hospital admission, 48 h later, and at ICU admission. In contrast, prognostic scores of AP severity



vWF:Ag on admission to the intensive care unit (ICU). **C** Differences in vWF:Ag between day 3 and day 1 (positive values indicate increases). Patients were stratified for the presence of organ failure or necrosis during follow-up. Violin plots with medians (solid) and quartiles (dotted) are shown. Day 1: hospital admission (prospective cohort) or ICU admission (ICU admission). *P* values from Mann–Whitney *U* test

Characteristics	Univariate analysis		Adjusted for etiology [#]		Adjusted for etiology [#] and age	
	Univariate odds ratio (95% Cl)	P value	Adjusted odds ratio (95% CI)	P value	Adjusted odds ratio (95% CI)	P value
sCD206*						
Prospective cohort ICU cohort sCD206 Q4 ^{\$}	6.08 (1.77–20.81) 2.90 (1.19–7.05)	0.004 0.02	4.29 (1.20–15.37) 2.95 (1.21–7.22)	0.03 0.02	5.96 (1.46–24.30) 3.33 (1.22–9.13)	0.01 0.02
Prospective cohort ICU cohort	9.18 (2.54–33.23) 4.38 (1.08–17.71)	0.001 0.04	8.69 (1.90–39.70) 4.45 (1.09–18.11)	0.005 0.04	17.54 (2.63–117.1) 8.31 (1.66–41.68)	0.003 0.01
vWF:Ag*						
Prospective cohort ICU cohort vWF:Aa O4 ^{\$}	9.33 (1.05–82.81) 3.34 (1.04–10.67)	0.04 0.04	102.0 (2.1–5016.8) 3.69 (1.11–12.27)	0.02 0.03	205.0 (2–2–18,729.5) 8.40 (1.61–43.92)	0.02 0.01
Prospective cohort ICU cohort	5.25 (1.19–23.17) 4.00 (0.77–20.92)	0.03 0.10	9.45 (0.90–98.92) 4.02 (0.74–21.71)	0.06 0.11	12.43 (0.96–161.76) 8.69 (1.18–64.13)	0.05 0.03

Table 3 Binary logistic regression analysis to identify patients with severe/necrotizing pancreatitis

*log_e-transformed values were used. [#]stratified by biliary vs. alcoholic vs. other etiologies. ^SThe fourth quartile in each cohort was compared to the other quartiles (Q1–Q3) within the cohort

and organ failure were not able to identify patients with a more severe disease course in these cohorts at hospital or ICU admission.

Predicting the course of AP on admission is challenging, as necrosis has not yet developed and organ failure may be absent or occur in a transient manner. In addition, early markers of organ dysfunction may be influenced by systemic inflammation³⁵. An APACHE II Score above 8 or elevated serum creatinine levels > 24 h after admission are associated with persistent organ failure in acute pancreatitis³⁶ but there remains a gap of 24 h between admission and the estimation of prognosis. Finding satisfying markers for this time period of early and decisive clinical decisions has been a struggle and no definitive marker has been established.

Potential early predictors for the course of pancreatitis are cellular markers of inflammation. The neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio has been described to identify patients with organ failure or severe pancreatitis in a recent study³⁷. Given the pivotal role of immune cells of the myeloid lineage in severe AP, we investigated sCD206 as a marker of macrophage activation shed into the circulation^{38,39}. Markers of macrophage activation such as sCD206 are increased in patients with fungal infections^{16,34,40}, pneumonia⁴¹, sepsis⁴² and liver disease^{43,44}, indicate poor prognosis in alcoholic



hepatitis⁴³ and acute-on-chronic liver failure⁴⁵ and have the potential to improve established scoring systems. Analysis of circulating monocytes showed phenotypic alterations in the myeloid compartment, such as increased surface expression of the Mer tyrosine kinase in patients that will develop a complicated course of AP, already present on admission.

Although the extent of pancreatic tissue injury is a likely explanation for the release of sCD206 into the circulation, we cannot exclude a concurrent microbial infection in this situation. Our analysis shows that elevated levels of sCD206 were observed in both, in patients with concurrent infections admitted to the intensive care unit and in patients who developed infections at later timepoints. However, even after excluding patients with manifest infections at blood collection, sCD206 concentrations were significantly associated with severe disease progression in both cohorts.

Previous studies⁴⁶ have demonstrated increased intestinal permeability in the early phase of AP before the development of bacteremia and organ failure, which may influence sCD206 levels before the onset of manifest infection³³. As with other inflammatory markers, sCD206 concentration must be evaluated in the context of the likelihood of sterile inflammation vs. bacterial or fungal infection³⁴.

Given the role of microcirculatory dysfunction in AP and the close relationship of inflammatory and hemostatic systems^{21–23}, another potential target for early identification of patients with severe or necrotizing pancreatitis are markers of endothelial perturbation and hemostasis. Although the vast majority of patients with AP presented elevated vWF:Ag levels as previously described^{24–27}, a more severe course was associated with significantly higher vWF:Ag already on admission to hospital or the ICU. As an acute-phase-protein and procoagulant glycoprotein, vWF is secreted in multimeric formulation by activated endothelial cells^{47,48}. Our observations are in line with previous studies, linking higher vWF to pancreatic necrosis⁴⁹ and respiratory failure⁵⁰. The key regulator of vWF multimer size, which is also crucial for vWF activity, is its protease ADAMTS13. Interestingly, we did not observe a differential regulation of ADAMTS13 with respect to AP severity despite its modulation by inflammation, infections and organ failure^{51–58} and the inverse correlation of ADAMTS13 and the APACHE II score in patients with severe pancreatitis, that had been reported in previous publications⁵⁹.

This study has several limitations, which have to be taken into account. First of all, we cannot rule out that the observed changes in the immune system and in inflammatory and coagulation parameters are influenced by other aspects connected to or independent from pancreatitis. This risk was minimized by excluding patients with comorbidities, that have been known to influence vWF activity. We further tried to eliminate potential confounders, such as etiology by performing multivariate analyses. Second, the duration of symptoms prior to hospital admission was not assessed in our study. Minimizing such confounding bias especially in ICU patients is difficult but was dealt with by excluding patients, whose index day of symptoms was more than 24 h before ICU admission. Third, the AP case fatality is low, which does not allow the investigation of harder end points, such as mortality, in adequately powered studies. In addition, overall mortality of AP has been declining⁶⁰.

One potential limitation is the dichotomization of patients used, which differs from current classification systems with three (Revised Atlanta classification ²) or four (Determinant-Based Classification ⁶¹) severity strata. This not only improves statistical power by reducing the number of severities, but is also consistent with current clinical concepts⁶². Patients with pancreatic necrosis were grouped together with patients with severe AP, because local complications may often require a variety of interventions to avoid a fatal outcome. Patients with moderately severe AP and transient organ failure were grouped together with a generally good prognosis, significantly less local complications ⁶³, and no need for transfer to a tertiary medical center or an ICU ⁶⁴.

Conclusions

Our findings indicate that surrogates of macrophage activation and endothelial function are promising early biomarkers to identify patients at risk for a complicated course of AP. In particular, we herein report that sCD206 was a better biomarker than routine inflammatory parameters to identify patients at risk of complicated courses of AP at hospital admission or ICU admission. Further prospective studies are needed to investigate whether the inclusion of early or repeated measurements in the existing scoring system proves effective in better identifying patients at risk of severe AP and whether it can be used for better risk stratification in the future.

Abbreviations

ADAMTS13: A disintegrin and metalloproteinase with a thrombospondin type 1 motif, member 13; AP: Acute pancreatitis; APACHE: Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation; ALT: Alanine aminotransferase; AST: Aspartate aminotransferase; BISAP: Bedside index for severity in acute pancreatitis; CI: Confidence interval; CRP: C-reactive protein; ELISA: Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay; GGT: Gamma-glutamyl transferase; INR: International normalized ratio; IQR: Interquartile range; PCT: Procalcitonin; RCo: Ristocetin cofactor; ROC: Receiver operating characteristic; SIRS: Systemic inflammatory response syndrome; SOFA: Sequential Organ Failure Assessment; vWF: Von Willebrand factor; WBC: White blood cells.

Supplementary Information

The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi. org/10.1186/s40560-022-00619-2.

Additional file 1. Additional methods. Table S1. Clinical course and outcome of patients with acute pancreatitis (prospective cohort). Table S2. Clinical course and outcome of patients with acute pancreatitis (ICU cohort). Fig. S1. White blood cell count, C-reactive protein and procalcitonin in patients with acute pancreatitis. Fig. S2. Surface expression of the MHC class II molecule HLA-DR and the Mer tyrosine kinase (MERTK) on circulating CD14+ cells. Fig. S3. Fibrinogen and D-Dimer concentrations on admission in patients with acute pancreatitis.

Acknowledgements

We thank the patients for their participation and the hospital staff for collecting the materials. We also thank Kathrin Schulze for excellent technical assistance.

Author contributions

PAR, JFB, and TB performed statistical analyses and wrote the manuscript. PAR, JFB, TB, SQ, JR, MK, SS, and OI performed experiments. PAR and TB conceived the study. PAR, AF, AK and JFB provided patient samples and clinical data. AS and CT gave important intellectual input. All authors critically revised the manuscript for important intellectual content. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

Open Access funding enabled and organized by Projekt DEAL. TB was supported by the German Research Foundation (SFB1382 Project ID 403224013/ B07).

Availability of data and materials

The data sets used and/or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

All patients, next of kin or legal guardians gave written informed consent according to the study protocols prior to inclusion as approved by the respective internal review boards and ethics committee (5128-03/17, Jena and EK 150/06, Aachen).

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author details

¹Department of Internal Medicine IV, Jena University Hospital, Friedrich Schiller University Jena, Am Klinikum 1, 07747 Jena, Germany. ²Department of Internal Medicine III, University Hospital RWTH Aachen, Aachen, Germany. ³Department of Radiology, Jena University Hospital, Friedrich Schiller University Jena, Jena, Germany. ⁴Department of Clinical Chemistry and Laboratory Diagnostics, Jena University Hospital, Jena, Germany.

Received: 5 January 2022 Accepted: 30 May 2022 Published online: 11 June 2022

References

- Sellers ZM, MacIsaac D, Yu H, et al. Nationwide trends in acute and chronic pancreatitis among privately insured children and nonelderly adults in the United States, 2007–2014. Gastroenterology. 2018;155(2):469-478.e1. https://doi.org/10.1053/j.gastro.2018.04.013.
- Banks PA, Bollen TL, Dervenis C, et al. Classification of acute pancreatitis–2012: revision of the Atlanta classification and definitions by international consensus. Gut. 2013;62(1):102–11. https://doi.org/10.1136/ gutjnl-2012-302779.
- van Dijk SM, Hallensleben NDL, van Santvoort HC, et al. Acute pancreatitis: recent advances through randomised trials. Gut. 2017;66(11):2024–32. https://doi.org/10.1136/gutjnl-2016-313595.
- Ranson JH, Rifkind KM, Roses DF, Fink SD, Eng K, Spencer FC. Prognostic signs and the role of operative management in acute pancreatitis. Surg Gynecol Obstet. 1974;139(1):69–81.
- Larvin M, McMahon MJ. APACHE-II score for assessment and monitoring of acute pancreatitis. Lancet. 1989;2(8656):201–5. https://doi.org/10. 1016/s0140-6736(89)90381-4.
- Halonen KI, Pettilä V, Leppäniemi AK, Kemppainen EA, Puolakkainen PA, Haapiainen RK. Multiple organ dysfunction associated with severe acute pancreatitis. Crit Care Med. 2002;30(6):1274–9. https://doi.org/10.1097/ 00003246-200206000-00019.
- Mounzer R, Langmead CJ, Wu BU, et al. Comparison of existing clinical scoring systems to predict persistent organ failure in patients with acute pancreatitis. Gastroenterology. 2012;142(7):1476–82. https://doi.org/10. 1053/j.gastro.2012.03.005.
- Gao W, Yang HX, Ma CE. The value of BISAP score for predicting mortality and severity in acute pancreatitis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. PLoS ONE. 2015;10(6): e0130412. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone. 0130412.
- Brown A, Orav J, Banks PA. Hemoconcentration is an early marker for organ failure and necrotizing pancreatitis. Pancreas. 2000;20(4):367–72.
- Lankisch PG, Blum T, Bruns A, et al. Has blood glucose level measured on admission to hospital in a patient with acute pancreatitis any prognostic value? Pancreatology. 2001;1(3):224–9. https://doi.org/10.1159/00005 5815.
- Weber CK, Adler G. From acinar cell damage to systemic inflammatory response: current concepts in pancreatitis. Pancreatology. 2001;1(4):356– 62. https://doi.org/10.1159/000055834.
- Yang J, Denham W, Tracey KJ, et al. The physiologic consequences of macrophage pacification during severe acute pancreatitis. Shock. 1998;10(3):169–75. https://doi.org/10.1097/00024382-199809000-00004.
- Sendler M, Weiss FU, Golchert J, et al. Cathepsin B-mediated activation of trypsinogen in endocytosing macrophages increases severity of pancreatitis in mice. Gastroenterology. 2018;154(3):704-718.e10. https://doi.org/ 10.1053/j.gastro.2017.10.018.
- Manohar M, Jones EK, Rubin SJS, et al. Novel circulating and tissue monocytes as well as macrophages in pancreatitis and recovery. Gastroenterology. 2021;161(6):2014-2029.e14. https://doi.org/10.1053/j.gastro.2021.08. 033.
- Yu E, Goto M, Ueta H, et al. Expression of area-specific M2-macrophage phenotype by recruited rat monocytes in duct-ligation pancreatitis.

Histochem Cell Biol. 2016;145(6):659–73. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s00418-016-1406-y.

- Gazi U, Rosas M, Singh S, et al. Fungal recognition enhances mannose receptor shedding through dectin-1 engagement. J Biol Chem. 2011;286(10):7822–9. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M110.185025.
- Nielsen MC, Andersen MN, Rittig N, et al. The macrophage-related biomarkers sCD163 and sCD206 are released by different shedding mechanisms. J Leukoc Biol. 2019;106(5):1129–38. https://doi.org/10.1002/ JLB.3A1218-500R.
- Stengel S, Quickert S, Lutz P, et al. Peritoneal level of CD206 associates with mortality and an inflammatory macrophage phenotype in patients with decompensated cirrhosis and spontaneous bacterial peritonitis. Gastroenterology. 2020;158(6):1745–61. https://doi.org/10.1053/j.gastro. 2020.01.029.
- Fraser IP, Takahashi K, Koziel H, Fardin B, Harmsen A, Ezekowitz RAB. Pneumocystis carinii enhancessoluble mannose receptor production by macrophages. Microbes Infect. 2000;2(11):1305–10. https://doi.org/10. 1016/S1286-4579(00)01283-1.
- Cuthbertson CM, Christophi C. Disturbances of the microcirculation in acute pancreatitis. Br J Surg. 2006;93(5):518–30. https://doi.org/10.1002/ bjs.5316.
- 21. Esmon CT. The interactions between inflammation and coagulation. Br J Haematol. 2005;131(4):417–30. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2141.2005. 05753.x.
- Levi M, van der Poll T, Büller HR. Bidirectional Relation Between Inflammation and Coagulation. Circulation. 2004;109(22):2698–704. https://doi.org/10.1161/01.CIR.0000131660.51520.9A.
- Opal SM, Esmon CT. Bench-to-bedside review: functional relationships between coagulation and the innate immune response and their respective roles in the pathogenesis of sepsis. Crit Care. 2003;7(1):23–38.
- Waldron RT, Lugea A, Gulla A, Pandol SJ. Proteomic identification of novel plasma biomarkers and pathobiologic pathways in alcoholic acute pancreatitis. Front Physiol. 2018;9:1215. https://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2018. 01215.
- Kerekes L, Arkossy P, Altorjay I, et al. Evaluation of hemostatic changes and blood antioxidant capacity in acute and chronic pancreatitis. Hepatogastroenterology. 2001;48(42):1746–9.
- Federici AB, Berkowitz SD, Lattuada A, Mannucci PM. Degradation of von Willebrand factor in patients with acquired clinical conditions in which there is heightened proteolysis. Blood. 1993;81(3):720–5.
- Lombardi R, Mannucci PM, Seghatchian MJ, Garcia VV, Coppola R. Alterations of factor VIII von Willebrand factor in clinical conditions associated with an increase in its plasma concentration. Br J Haematol. 1981;49(1):61–8.
- Tsai HM. Physiologic cleavage of von Willebrand factor by a plasma protease is dependent on its conformation and requires calcium ion. Blood. 1996;87(10):4235–44.
- Soejima K, Mimura N, Hirashima M, et al. A novel human metalloprotease synthesized in the liver and secreted into the blood: possibly, the von Willebrand factor-cleaving protease? J Biochem. 2001;130(4):475–80.
- Smeets X, Bouhouch N, Buxbaum J, et al. The revised Atlanta criteria more accurately reflect severity of post-ERCP pancreatitis compared to the consensus criteria. United European Gastroenterol J. 2019;7(4):557–64. https://doi.org/10.1177/2050640619834839.
- Charlson M, Szatrowski TP, Peterson J, Gold J. Validation of a combined comorbidity index. J Clin Epidemiol. 1994;47(11):1245–51. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/0895-4356(94)90129-5.
- 32. Reuken PA, Kussmann A, Kiehntopf M, et al. Imbalance of von Willebrand factor and its cleaving protease ADAMTS13 during systemic inflammation superimposed on advanced cirrhosis. Liver Int. 2015;35(1):37–45. https://doi.org/10.1111/liv.12657.
- Rainer F, Horvath A, Sandahl TD, et al. Soluble CD163 and soluble mannose receptor predict survival and decompensation in patients with liver cirrhosis, and correlate with gut permeability and bacterial translocation. Aliment Pharmacol Ther. 2018;47(5):657–64. https://doi.org/10.1111/apt. 14474.
- De Vlieger G, Vanhorebeek I, Wouters PJ, et al. The soluble mannose receptor (sMR/sCD206) in critically ill patients with invasive fungal infections, bacterial infections or non-infectious inflammation: a secondary analysis of the EPaNIC RCT. Crit Care. 2019. https://doi.org/10.1186/ s13054-019-2549-8.

- Wajda J, Dumnicka P, Maraj M, Ceranowicz P, Kuźniewski M, Kuśnierz-Cabala B. Potential Prognostic Markers of Acute Kidney Injury in the Early Phase of Acute Pancreatitis. Int J Mol Sci. 2019. https://doi.org/10.3390/ ijms20153714.
- Wan J, Shu W, He W, et al. Serum Creatinine Level and APACHE-II Score within 24 h of Admission Are Effective for Predicting Persistent Organ Failure in Acute Pancreatitis. Gastroenterol Res Pract. 2019;2019:8201096. https://doi.org/10.1155/2019/8201096.
- Wang Y, Fuentes HE, Attar BM, Jaiswal P, Demetria M. Evaluation of the prognostic value of neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio in patients with hypertriglyceridemia-induced acute pancreatitis. Pancreatology. 2017;17(6):893–7. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pan.2017.10.001.
- Andersen MN, Andersen NF, Rødgaard-Hansen S, Hokland M, Abildgaard N, Møller HJ. The novel biomarker of alternative macrophage activation, soluble mannose receptor (sMR/sCD206): Implications in multiple myeloma. Leuk Res. 2015;39(9):971–5. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.leukres. 2015.06.003.
- 39. Gordon S. Alternative activation of macrophages. Nat Rev Immunol. 2003;3(1):23–35. https://doi.org/10.1038/nri978.
- Erwig LP, Gow NAR. Interactions of fungal pathogens with phagocytes. Nat Rev Microbiol. 2016;14(3):163–76. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro. 2015.21.
- Loonen AJM, Leijtens S, Serin O, et al. Soluble mannose receptor levels in blood correlate to disease severity in patients with community-acquired pneumonia. Immunol Lett. 2019;206:28–32. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. imlet.2018.12.001.
- Marie Relster M, Gaini S, Møller HJ, Johansen IS, Pedersen C. The macrophage activation marker sMR as a diagnostic and prognostic marker in patients with acute infectious disease with or without sepsis. Scand J Clin Lab Invest. 2018;78(3):180–6. https://doi.org/10.1080/00365513.2018. 1431841.
- Saha S, Narang R, Deshmukh P, Pote K, Anvikar A, Narang P. Diagnostic efficacy of microscopy, rapid diagnostic test and polymerase chain reaction for malaria using bayesian latent class analysis. Indian J Med Microbiol. 2017;35(3):376–80. https://doi.org/10.4103/ijmm.IJMM_17_ 199.
- 44. Andersen ES, Rødgaard-Hansen S, Moessner B, Christensen PB, Møller HJ, Weis N. Macrophage-related serum biomarkers soluble CD163 (sCD163) and soluble mannose receptor (sMR) to differentiate mild liver fibrosis from cirrhosis in patients with chronic hepatitis C: a pilot study. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis. 2014;33(1):117–22. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s10096-013-1936-3.
- Grønbæk H, Rødgaard-Hansen S, Aagaard NK, et al. Macrophage activation markers predict mortality in patients with liver cirrhosis without or with acute-on-chronic liver failure (ACLF). J Hepatol. 2016;64(4):813–22. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhep.2015.11.021.
- Besselink MG, van Santvoort HC, Renooij W, et al. Intestinal barrier dysfunction in a randomized trial of a specific probiotic composition in acute pancreatitis. Ann Surg. 2009;250(5):712–9. https://doi.org/10.1097/SLA. 0b013e3181bce5bd.
- Moake JL, Turner NA, Stathopoulos NA, Nolasco LH, Hellums JD. Involvement of large plasma von Willebrand factor (vWF) multimers and unusually large vWF forms derived from endothelial cells in shear stressinduced platelet aggregation. J Clin Invest. 1986;78(6):1456–61. https:// doi.org/10.1172/JCl112736.
- van Mourik JA, Boertjes R, Huisveld IA, et al. von Willebrand factor propeptide in vascular disorders: a tool to distinguish between acute and chronic endothelial cell perturbation. Blood. 1999;94(1):179–85.
- Chen Y, Ke L, Meng L, et al. Endothelial markers are associated with pancreatic necrosis and overall prognosis in acute pancreatitis: a preliminary cohort study. Pancreatology. 2016. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pan.2016.12. 005.
- Siemiatkowski A, Wereszczynska-Siemiatkowska U, Mroczko B, Galar M, Maziewski T. Circulating endothelial mediators in human pancreatitisassociated lung injury. Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2015;27(6):728–34. https://doi.org/10.1097/MEG.0000000000338.
- Ono T, Mimuro J, Madoiwa S, et al. Severe secondary deficiency of von Willebrand factor-cleaving protease (ADAMTS13) in patients with sepsisinduced disseminated intravascular coagulation: its correlation with development of renal failure. Blood. 2006;107(2):528–34. https://doi.org/ 10.1182/blood-2005-03-1087.

- Kremer Hovinga JA, Zeerleder S, Kessler P, et al. ADAMTS-13, von Willebrand factor and related parameters in severe sepsis and septic shock. J Thromb Haemost. 2007;5(11):2284–90. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1538-7836.2007.02743.x.
- Lattuada A, Rossi E, Calzarossa C, Candolfi R, Mannucci PM. Mild to moderate reduction of a von Willebrand factor cleaving protease (ADAMTS-13) in pregnant women with HELLP microangiopathic syndrome. Haematologica. 2003;88(9):1029–34.
- Reiter RA, Varadi K, Turecek PL, Jilma B, Knöbl P. Changes in ADAMTS13 (von-Willebrand-factor-cleaving protease) activity after induced release of von Willebrand factor during acute systemic inflammation. Thromb Haemost. 2005;93(3):554–8. https://doi.org/10.1267/THRO05030554.
- Bockmeyer CL, Reuken PA, Simon TP, et al. ADAMTS13 activity is decreased in a septic porcine model. Significance for glomerular thrombus deposition. Thromb Haemost. 2011;105(1):145–53. https://doi.org/10. 1160/TH10-03-0153.
- Huang L, Zhang D, Han W, Guo C. High-mobility group box-1 inhibition stabilizes intestinal permeability through tight junctions in experimental acute necrotizing pancreatitis. Inflamm Res. 2019. https://doi.org/10. 1007/s00011-019-01251-x.
- Barbeiro DF, Koike MK, Coelho AMM, da Silva FP, Machado MCC. Intestinal barrier dysfunction and increased COX-2 gene expression in the gut of elderly rats with acute pancreatitis. Pancreatology. 2016;16(1):52–6. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pan.2015.10.012.
- Wittau M, Mayer B, Scheele J, Henne-Bruns D, Dellinger EP, Isenmann R. Systematic review and meta-analysis of antibiotic prophylaxis in severe acute pancreatitis. Scand J Gastroenterol. 2011;46(3):261–70. https://doi. org/10.3109/00365521.2010.531486.
- Morioka C, Uemura M, Matsuyama T, et al. Plasma ADAMTS13 activity parallels the APACHE II score, reflecting an early prognostic indicator for patients with severe acute pancreatitis. Scand J Gastroenterol. 2008;43(11):1387–96. https://doi.org/10.1080/00365520802179933.
- Krishna SG, Kamboj AK, Hart PA, Hinton A, Conwell DL. The changing epidemiology of acute pancreatitis hospitalizations: a decade of trends and the impact of chronic pancreatitis. Pancreas. 2017;46(4):482–8. https:// doi.org/10.1097/MPA.00000000000783.
- Dellinger EP, Forsmark CE, Layer P, et al. Determinant-based classification of acute pancreatitis severity: an international multidisciplinary consultation. Ann Surg. 2012;256(6):875–80. https://doi.org/10.1097/SLA.0b013 e318256f778.
- Trikudanathan G, Wolbrink DRJ, van Santvoort HC, Mallery S, Freeman M, Besselink MG. Current concepts in severe acute and necrotizing pancreatitis: an evidence-based approach. Gastroenterology. 2019;156(7):1994-2007.e3. https://doi.org/10.1053/j.gastro.2019.01.269.
- 63. Johnson CD, Abu-Hilal M. Persistent organ failure during the first week as a marker of fatal outcome in acute pancreatitis. Gut. 2004;53(9):1340–4. https://doi.org/10.1136/gut.2004.039883.
- Leppäniemi A, Tolonen M, Tarasconi A, et al. 2019 WSES guidelines for the management of severe acute pancreatitis. World J Emerg Surg. 2019;14(1):27. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13017-019-0247-0.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.